

ScienceDirect



Long non-coding RNAs: modulators of nuclear structure and function Jan H Bergmann and David L Spector

Long non-coding (Inc)RNAs are emerging key factors in the regulation of various cellular processes. In the nucleus, these include the organization of nuclear sub-structures, the alteration of chromatin state, and the regulation of gene expression through the interaction with effector proteins and modulation of their activity. Collectively, IncRNAs form the core of attractive models explaining aspects of structural and dynamic regulation in the nucleus across time and space. Here we review recent studies that characterize the molecular function of a subset of these molecules in the regulation and fine-tuning of nuclear state.

Addresses

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, One Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724, USA

Corresponding author: Spector, David L (spector@cshl.edu)

Current Opinion in Cell Biology 2014, 26:10-18

This review comes from a themed issue on Cell architecture

Edited by Sue Biggins and Matthew D Welch

0955-0674/\$ – see front matter, \odot 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2013.08.005

Introduction

The nucleus is a highly structured cellular compartment that most prominently serves the organization and regulation of chromatin-associated processes, including DNA replication, transcription, RNA processing and RNA export (for recent review, see [1–4]). Assembly and organization of nuclear sub-structures, and the spatiotemporal coordination of nuclear processes, need to be tightly controlled to ensure efficient cellular function and metabolism, yet allow for sufficient plasticity so as to integrate changing external and internal cues.

While the catalytic and structural function of nuclear proteins has been the center of research for several decades, relatively recent studies provide increasing evidence that long non-coding (lnc)RNAs may assume roles in mediating processes typically known to be exerted by protein complexes, ranging from the fine-tuning of developmental programs to key regulatory aspects of cellular function. LncRNAs are arbitrarily defined as RNA molecules of greater than 200 nucleotides in length that do not contain any apparent protein-coding potential, as determined largely by bioinformatic means. These comprise both unspliced and multi-exonic transcripts that may or may not be poly-adenylated. The majority of lncRNAs are expressed at low levels, largely precluding their discovery before the advent of RNA deep sequencing approaches. Furthermore, their low expression level has fueled concerns about how and to which extent some of these molecules are biologically functional (discussed in [5]). Broadly, lncRNAs can be classified into small RNA hosts or precursor transcripts, enhancer-associated RNAs (eRNAs), transcripts overlapping annotated genes in sense or anti-sense direction (including intronic lncRNAs), as well as lncRNAs that are self-contained transcription units falling in between known proteincoding genes and also referred to as long 'intergenic' non-coding (linc)RNAs [6-9]. This coarse classification is dwarfed by the range of biological processes that IncRNAs have been implicated in [10,11]. Furthermore, the latter likely only represents the tip of the iceberg given the minute fraction of lncRNAs functionally characterized to date.

In several cases, in particular those where the lncRNA is implicated in transcriptional regulation or the modulation of chromatin state in *cis*, it is frequently difficult to assess if the effect of a given lncRNA is mediated by the mature RNA molecule itself, or by the local activity of RNA polymerases and their associated proteins, as reviewed in Kornienko *et al.* [12]. Here, we will highlight recent studies supporting a direct involvement of individual lncRNA molecules as the functional entity in the regulation of transcriptional activity and chromatin state, as well as the architectural role of lncRNAs within nuclear bodies.

A case for RNA

The majority of the thousands of lncRNAs identified to date are transcribed in a highly cell-specific and tissuespecific manner [8,13,14]. These molecules thus provide an exciting prospect of forming molecular layers contributing towards the vast range of functional diversity found across different cell types. As discussed below, the currently promoted hypotheses of lncRNA function largely revolve around interaction of lncRNAs with specific proteins, so as to modulate their dynamics and localization, or the compositional structure of multiprotein complexes (Figure 1) [10,15,16]. In fact, it has been known for decades that ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes incorporating non-coding RNAs, such as ribosomes and some RNA processing components including



Recent insight into the molecular functions of nuclear IncRNAs. Cell-state specific expression of individual IncRNAs can modulate the targeting of interacting proteins, their activity, or the structural composition of multi-protein complexes. (a) Transcriptional regulation can occur *in trans* through the targeting of silencing (or activating, not pictured) chromatin-modifying complexes. Examples discussed in the main text include HOTAIR, Fendrr and Braveheart. (b) LncRNAs can act as 'decoys', sequestering transcription repressors away from their cognate target gene promoters. Examples include Gas5, PANDA and Lethe. (c) Transcriptional modulation of neighboring genes in *cis* involves IncRNA-mediated recruitment of chromatin factors (ANRIL and Mistral) and may involve IncRNA-dependent intra-chromosomal contact to gene promoters (HOTTIP and several IncRNAs with enhancer-like function [30,64]). (d) Neat1 IncRNA serves to assemble and maintain paraspeckle nuclear bodies.

snRNPs and snoRNPs, actively participate in cellular function. Their biochemical features render lncRNAs tantalizing molecules as potential key elements regulating cellular function.

Both primary transcript sequence and secondary structure motifs allow an RNA to selectively interact with proteins [17–20]. In mammalian cells, in excess of 800 proteins associate with the poly-adenylated transcript fraction alone, creating a diverse multi-dimensional RNP network [21,22]. Besides facilitating the proximity of individual RNA-binding proteins with each other, alternative splicing of lncRNAs resulting in the inclusion or exclusion of individual structural elements can further modulate the composition and thus potentially regulate the functional output of an RNP [23].

The primary sequence of lncRNAs may not only determine RNP composition, but also hold the clue towards localization of the associated proteins, which frequently lack apparent DNA binding motifs, to their target sites in the genome. Recent data have shown that certain

www.sciencedirect.com

IncRNAs in mammalian as well as Drosophila cells occupy hundreds of genomic sites, and that these sites contain sequence motifs specific for the given lncRNA [24,25^{••}]. RNA can form triple-helices with DNA, and several lines of evidence indicate that these structures can be functional in vivo (reviewed in [26]). Indeed, lncRNA:D-NA:DNA triplexes were shown to mediate local targeting of the DNA methyltransferase DNMT3b to or displacement of TFIIB from rRNA genes and the DHFR promoter, respectively [27,28].

Lastly, the comparatively rapid process of transcriptional induction is well suited to accommodate and relay timesensitive alterations of intrinsic or extrinsic cues through IncRNAs. In support of this, estrogen signaling in MCF-7 cells was recently shown to result in the rapid change in expression of a large number of lncRNAs [29,30^{••},31^{••}], suggesting they may perform an early functional role in this pathway. Indeed, knockdown of a subset of enhancer-associated lncRNAs in this system resulted in an impaired induction of the adjacent protein-coding genes [30^{••}]. With a wide range of transcript half lives that are globally lower than those of proteins [32-34], cellular effectiveness of lncRNA function can consequently be tightly regulated. This temporal control of cellular IncRNA levels could thus result in an equally tight control of lncRNP functional output, as illustrated above.

Dynamic regulation of transcription and chromatin state by IncRNAs

Perhaps the most dramatic case of transcriptional regulation mediated by a lncRNA known to date occurs during dosage compensation through the inactivation of one of the two female X-chromosomes (Xi) during mammalian differentiation. In this process, nearly all genes on the Xi become permanently repressed. Xist is specifically transcribed from and coats the Xi in somatic cells [35]. Xist was recently shown to directly interact with Ezh2, the catalytic subunit of the Polycomb repressive complex (PRC)2, through the Repeat A motif on Xist, resulting in recruitment of PRC2 to the chromosome [36]. Initial targeting of PRC2 through Xist occurs at a 'nucleation center', by tethering of Xist through the YY1 protein, which binds to the Repeat C motif on Xist [37^{••}]. PRC2 subsequently spreads across and silences genes along the Xi through catalyzing the repressive trimethylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27) [38]. A recent study proposed that during this process, Xist alters the threedimensional architecture of Xi to facilitate repositioning of active genes into the repressive compartment [39]. Remarkably, an inducible Xist cDNA construct inserted on one copy of human chromosome 21 in tri-somic Down syndrome stem cells results in the coating of the chromosome by XIST RNA associated with chromosome-wide gene repression and chromatin modification [40^{••}]. Regulation of endogenous Xist expression and its impact on the X-chromosome is complex and controlled by additional

IncRNAs including long interspersed elements, as well as their protein interactors [41-43] (for comprehensive review, see [44]). Of note, a recent study identified another lncRNA, XACT, which is expressed in human cells and at least partially associates with the active Xchromosome as determined by RNA-DNA in situ hybridization, although the functional role of this RNA has vet to be elucidated [45].

Over the past several years, other lncRNAs have been identified that can modulate chromatin state and transcriptional activity in *cis* (affecting neighboring genes) or in trans (affecting distant genes on different chromosomes). Indeed, many lncRNAs are enriched in the nucleus and interact with a range of chromatin-modifying complexes [46-49]. Among the first lncRNAs implicated in the modulation of chromatin state in *trans* (Figure 1a), and perhaps the most thoroughly studied representative of this class of lncRNAs, is HOTAIR [50]. HOTAIR is transcribed within the human HOXC locus on chromosome 12, and interacts with the catalytic subunit of PRC2, Ezh2 [47,50,51^{••},52]. Depletion of HOTAIR transcripts by siRNA does not have an impact on transcription of genes within its host locus HOXC, but was instead found to cause a reduction of PRC2 occupancy, local decrease in H3K27 trimethylation, and the activation of genes within the HOXD locus on chromosome 2 [50]. Subsequent genome-wide analysis identified hundreds of sites on different chromosomes that are associated with HOTAIR [25^{••}]. Binding of HOTAIR was independent of the presence of Ezh2, indicating that HOTAIR may act upstream in PRC2 recruitment. In concordance, forced over-expression of HOTAIR is sufficient to cause ectopic mis-localization of PRC2 to several genomic regions [53^{••}]. While the 5' end of HOTAIR is required for interaction with PRC2, its 3' end was shown to interact with the H3K4-demethylase LSD1 in vitro [51^{••}]. This interaction results in the physical bridging of a small subfraction of PRC2 and LSD1-containing repressive complexes, with HOTAIR knockdown causing loss of either or both complexes at a subset of target genes [51^{••}]. Interestingly, knocking out mouse *Hotair* does not impact *Hoxd* gene expression or chromatin state [54], suggesting functional differences between mouse and human HOTAIR activity.

Similar to HOTAIR, two other lncRNAs have recently been implicated in regulating developmental gene expression programs through the interaction of chromatin modifiers and the modulation of local chromatin state in trans. The lncRNA Fendrr is required for heart and body wall development in the mouse, and its homozygous disruption causes embryonic lethality [55]. Pull-downs using antibodies against either PRC2 components or WDR5, a member of the MLL histone methyl-transferase complex, retrieved Fendrr RNA, and loss of Fendrr correlated with reduced occupancy of PRC2 at the

promoters of *Foxf1*, *Pitx2* and *Irx3*, paralleled by an upregulation of these genes. Notably, RNA oligomers corresponding to Fendrr were able to bind *Pitx2* and *Foxf1* promoter DNA fragments *in vitro*, together suggesting that Fendrr might facilitate targeting of PRC2 to these sites through triple helix interaction *in vivo*.

Analogously, Braveheart is a lncRNA most prominently expressed in the mouse heart, and shRNA-mediated depletion of Braveheart in different stem-cell and progenitor cell culture systems indicates Braveheart expression to be required for the commitment of cells to the cardiac lineage [56]. Reduction of Braveheart levels correlated with an altered occupancy of PRC2 at cardiac gene promoters, and pull-down experiments *in vitro* and *in vivo* indicate that Braveheart can interact with the PRC2 subunit Suz12. However, it remains to be determined if Braveheart directly mediates the functional targeting of PRC2 to these promoters.

A different mechanism for a lncRNA to mediate transcriptional output in *trans* is exemplified by Gas5 (Figure 1b). Gas5 accumulates in growth-arrested cells and was shown to bind to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), preventing it from binding to glucocorticoid response elements and impairing GR-mediated transcriptional induction [57]. Similarly, the p53-induced lncRNA PANDA has been reported to interact with the nuclear transcription factor NF-YA both in vitro and in RNA immuno-precipitation assays [58]. PANDA knockdown upregulated pro-apoptotic NF-YA target genes, paralleled by an increase of NF-YA occupancy at target promoters, combined suggesting that this lncRNA acts as a decoy to sequester or displace NF-YA from its genomic binding sites. Recently, another IncRNA has been suggested to act as transcription factor decoy: in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, Lethe regulates NFKB activity by binding to its subunit RelA and preventing its association with DNA [59].

Akin to the modulation of chromatin state at distant sites, several lncRNAs are implicated in regulating chromatin function in their genomic vicinity. Notable cis effects are exerted by lncRNAs such as ANRIL, an antisense transcript overlapping the INK4b/ARF/INK4a locus. ANRIL was found to bind both, PRC1 and PRC2 complexes and to be required for the repression of gene transcription at this locus [60,61]. Conversely, other lncRNAs were shown to recruit transcriptional activators to facilitate local target gene transcription. In mouse, Mistral was found to recruit the histone methyl-transferase MLL1 via a short stem loop, facilitating conformational association and inducing expression of the neighboring Hoxa6 and Hoxa7 genes during embryonic stem cell differentiation [62]. Similarly, the lncRNA HOTTIP was suggested to promote transcription of genes within the HOXA locus in human fibroblasts through chromosomal looping and an interaction with WDR5/MLL complexes (Figure 1c) [63].

Chromosomal looping between loci of lncRNAs, originally found to comprise enhancer-like activity, and their target gene promoters has also been observed. This contact, as well as modulation of target gene transcription, was abrogated when cells were treated with siRNA against the lncRNA, while the interaction between these loci was further dependent on the Mediator complex [64^{••},65]. Analogously, estrogen signaling-induced looping between a subset of enhancer-associated lncRNA loci and their target promoters in MCF-7 cells was reduced upon knockdown of the lncRNA, paralleled by a reduced recruitment of the cohesin complex to the enhancer and diminished target gene expression [30^{••}].

LncRNAs at the core of nuclear bodies

The nucleus harbors a range of sub-structures, collectively referred to as 'nuclear bodies'. Although the biological function of many of these structures remains to be elucidated, it is apparent that they are complex associations comprising many distinct proteins that in part interchange at these bodies in a highly dynamic manner [66].

Several independent studies have demonstrated that the abundant lncRNA Neat1 (also called Men ε/β) is essential for the maintenance of a nuclear sub-structure termed the paraspeckle (Figure 1d) [67–69]. Time-lapse imaging studies in cells harboring an inducible Neat1 construct further demonstrate that expression of Neat1 is sufficient for the co-transcriptional assembly of paraspeckles at the ectopic Neat1 locus [70^{••}]. Likewise, tethering of Neat1 RNA to a genomic region comprising a reporter array is sufficient for the local nucleation of a paraspeckle [71]. Consistent with the integral role of Neat1 RNA for paraspeckle formation, human embryonic stem cells, which do not express NEAT1, do not form paraspeckles [68]. As these cells differentiate, NEAT1 expression is induced, and paralleled by the formation of paraspeckles. Similarly, upon mouse myotube differentiation, Neat1 transcription is upregulated resulting in an increase in the number and size of paraspeckles [69]. These examples provide a striking case of how regulation of lncRNA expression can be linked to the modulation of a specific nuclear body.

Other lncRNAs are known to display distinct nuclear localization patterns. Most prominently, Malat1 (also called Neat2) is associated with nuclear speckles [67,72], although as discussed below, the transcript is non-essential for speckle formation and integrity. Also notable with respect to nuclear sub-structures is the lncRNA Gomafu (alternatively called Miat or RNCR2), which is mostly expressed in the mouse nervous system [73,74]. Gomafu is non-uniformly distributed in the nucleus of neuronal cells and associates with the insoluble nuclear matrix fraction, but does not co-localize with markers for known nuclear sub-structures [73]. Knockdown of Gomafu in

post-natal retina cells indicates a role for the transcript in cellular specification [75], although the underlying molecular mechanism remains to be determined. Recent studies found that Gomafu is bound by different splicing factors [76,77], and suggest that this interaction has an impact on alternative splicing in neurons [76].

Malat1: enigma or multi-tasking?

Malat1 was originally identified amongst several genes upregulated in metastatic non-small cell lung cancer [78]. A host of recent studies identified mis-expression and mutations of Malat1 in several other cancers, rendering Malat1 a tumor marker with potential as a prognostic or therapeutic target (reviewed by Gutschner *et al.* [79]). Through sequential RNAse P and RNAse Z mediated processing, the 3' end of Malat1 yields a 61 nucleotide tRNA-like small RNA termed mascRNA, which is exported into the cytoplasm [80], while the ~6700 nucleotide Malat1 transcript is retained in the nucleus where it is concentrated in nuclear speckles but also diffusely present throughout the nucleoplasm [67,72,80].

Within the poly-adenylated fraction, Malat1 represents the most abundant lncRNA [81]. Furthermore, in contrast to most other lncRNAs, Malat1, and in particular its 3' mascRNA module, is highly conserved in vertebrates [72,82^{••}]. Expression level, evolutionary conservation and its mis-regulation in various cancers suggest that Malat1 is involved in important cellular processes and has consequently been a major focus of research. While the function of mascRNA has vet to been elucidated. several studies have aimed to assess the cellular role of Malat1. As mentioned above, despite its prominent localization to nuclear speckles, Malat1 is not required for speckle formation or maintenance [72,81,83,84]. However, transient knockdown of Malat1 in different cell types was reported to cause a series of phenotypic changes, including impaired cell cycle progression [83,85,86], apoptosis [83], reduced cell motility [87,88] and diminished synapse formation in cultured neurons [89]. On the molecular level, Malat1 knockdown resulted in altered splicing patterns [83,85] or changes in gene expression [85-89]. Its impact on splicing may be associated with the reported interaction of Malat1 with different SR-type splicing factors, which appears to modulate their nuclear distribution [83,89] and, at least in certain cell types, their phosphorylation state [83]. The regulation of gene expression through Malat1 was recently suggested to be mediated in part through p53 pathway activation, although the molecular mode of this effect has not yet been determined [85]. Another study found MALAT1 to bind to unmethylated Polycomb 2 (Pc2) protein, and in *in vitro* pull-down conditions to other transcriptional co-activators [86]. Here, MALAT1 was furthermore essential for the re-location of growth control genes from Polycomb bodies to nuclear speckles, paralleled by their activation in HeLa cells.

In light of the above studies, perhaps the biggest surprise came from three recent Malat1 knock-out studies, which collectively failed to identify marked Malat1 phenotypes, including any of the defects observed in previous knockdown studies [81,84,90]. Two of these reports noted a mild impact of Malat1 knock out on the expression of the neighboring lncRNA Neat1 (see above). Furthermore, while in one knock-out system Neat1 is downregulated in intestine, colon and embryonic fibroblasts [84], the other system displays a mild up-regulation of Neat1 expression in brain and liver [81]. The lack of a strong phenotype in Malat1^{-/-} mice may well be attributed to functional compensation during development. Alternatively, and in line with the diverse defects seen in different cell lines in Malat1 knockdown studies, Malat1 might act as a more subtle sentinel of cellular state, mediating relevant responses under specific stress conditions such as malignant transformation. Clearly, despite the intensive research efforts to date, many open questions remain to be addressed and additional work is needed to investigate the function of Malat1 in different physiological backgrounds.

Future directions

The field of 'modern' lncRNA research is comparatively young, but from the few examples studied to date, it is clear that lncRNAs have revealed exciting new layers in the control of cellular function and metabolism. In addition, lncRNAs already are of great interest as disease biomarkers or potential targets for novel therapeutic approaches (discussed in [91,92]). However, the comparatively short time since discovering the thousands of lncRNAs also reflects the major challenges ahead in studying these molecules:

We currently lack the necessary information to predict the function of a given lncRNA based on their sequence alone. In fact, we cannot even exclude the possibility that any one of the presently uncharacterized lncRNAs may be coding for a small protein or peptide, rather than acting as a non-coding entity. This is exemplified by 'polished rice', a *Drosophila* transcript originally thought to be noncoding, but subsequently shown to produce a short peptide [93]. In this respect, ribosome profiling studies in mouse embryonic stem cells found that the majority of lncRNAs are associated with ribosomes [94], although subsequent computational analyses suggest that these interactions may not result in productive protein biosynthesis [95].

Essentially, what is presently needed to propel this field forward is to investigate in depth, on a one-by-one basis, uncharacterized lncRNAs so as to probe their functional roles. Molecular and biochemical assays should be complemented with studying lncRNA localization and expression on the subcellular level, ideally employing RNA fluorescence *in situ* hybridization protocols with

single molecule sensitivity. Besides assessing their enrichment in the nucleus, these data will furthermore allow to determine cell-to-cell variability as well as cell cycle-specific patterns of lncRNA expression and localization. These efforts need to be paralleled by the development of advanced molecular tools to study RNAs. their structure, and their functional interactions. Indeed, several recent efforts have generated valuable approaches both for a more global analysis as well as for specific subclasses of lncRNAs. Of particular note are methods aiming to determine the folding of lncRNA molecules [96-98] and the functional principles of these structures [17]. Other important approaches aim to identify the *in vivo* complement of cellular RNA interactors comprising both proteins [21,22] and other RNA molecules [99], and the mapping of binding sites of individual RNA-binding proteins at nucleotide resolution [20]. Of interest with respect to lncRNAs implicated in targeting effector proteins to genomic sites is a series of analogous procedures providing genome-wide data sets of RNP occupancy, termed CHART (Capture Hybridization Analysis of RNA Targets) [24], ChIRP (Chromatin Isolation by RNA Purification) [25**] or RAP (RNA Antisense Purification) [39]. Keeping in mind the impact of these IncRNAs on local chromatin state, it will furthermore be of great interest to apply established chromosome conformation capture technologies (recently reviewed in [100]) to lncRNA loss-of-function or gain-of-function systems, so as to investigate the impact of these molecules on global genome structure and chromatin organization.

Combined, these data should allow for the assembly of a database analogous to for example Pfam [101], containing lncRNA sequence features such as binding sites for specific effector proteins, functional secondary structure elements or 'zip codes' directing the targeting to distinct cellular domains or genomic sites, that will aid in more rapid movement towards functional characterization as well as high-throughput analyses of newly identified lncRNAs.

Acknowledgements

We thank Gayatri Arun in the Spector lab for critical reading and discussion of the manuscript. JHB is supported by a DAAD postdoctoral fellowship. DLS is funded by NCI 5P01CA013106, NCI 2P30CA45508 and NIGMS 42694.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Alabert C, Groth A: Chromatin replication and epigenome maintenance. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2012, **13**:153-167.
- 2. Grünwald D, Singer RH, Rout M: Nuclear export dynamics of RNA–protein complexes. *Nature* 2011, 475:333-341.
- Spitz F, Furlong EEM: Transcription factors: from enhancer binding to developmental control. Nat Rev Genet 2012, 13:613-626.

- Kornblihtt AR, Schor IE, Alló M, Dujardin G, Petrillo E, Muñoz MJ: <u>Alternative splicing: a pivotal step between eukaryotic</u> transcription and translation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2013, 14:153-165.
- 5. Ponting CP, Oliver PL, Reik W: Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. *Cell* 2009, **136**:629-641.
- Kim T-K, Hemberg M, Gray JM, Costa AM, Bear DM, Wu J, Harmin DA, Laptewicz M, Barbara-Haley K, Kuersten S et al.: Widespread transcription at neuronal activity-regulated enhancers. Nature 2010, 465:182-187.
- Guttman M, Amit I, Garber M, French C, Lin MF, Feldser D, Huarte M, Zuk O, Carey BW, Cassady JP et al.: Chromatin signature reveals over a thousand highly conserved large noncoding RNAs in mammals. *Nature* 2009, 458:223-227.
- Djebali S, Davis CA, Merkel A, Dobin A, Lassmann T, Mortazavi A, Tanzer A, Lagarde J, Lin W, Schlesinger F *et al.*: Landscape of transcription in human cells. *Nature* 2012, 489:101-108.
- ENCODE Project Consortium, Dunham I, Kundaje A, Aldred SF, Collins PJ, Davis CA, Doyle F, Epstein CB, Frietze S, Harrow J et al.: An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature 2012, 489:57-74.
- Rinn JL, Chang HY: Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Ann Rev Biochem 2012, 81:145-166.
- Wilusz JE, Sunwoo H, Spector DL: Long noncoding RNAs: functional surprises from the RNA world. Genes Dev 2009, 23:1494-1504.
- Kornienko AE, Guenzl PM, Barlow DP, Pauler FM: Gene regulation by the act of long non-coding RNA transcription. BMC Biol 2013, 11:1-14.
- Hangauer MJ, Vaughn IW, McManus MT: Pervasive transcription of the human genome produces thousands of previously unidentified long intergenic noncoding RNAs. PLoS Genet 2013, 9:e1003569.
- Cabili MN, Trapnell C, Goff L, Koziol M, Tazon-Vega B, Regev A, Rinn JL: Integrative annotation of human large intergenic noncoding RNAs reveals global properties and specific subclasses. Genes Dev 2011, 25:1915-1927.
- Batista PJ, Chang HY: Long noncoding RNAs: cellular address codes in development and disease. *Cell* 2013, 152:1298-1307.
- Lee JT: Epigenetic regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Science 2012, 338:1435-1439.
- Martin L, Meier M, Lyons SM, Sit RV, Marzluff WF, Quake SR, Chang HY: Systematic reconstruction of RNA functional motifs with high-throughput microfluidics. Nat Method 2012, 9:1192-1194.
- Hogan DJ, Riordan DP, Gerber AP, Herschlag D, Brown PO: Diverse RNA-binding proteins interact with functionally related sets of RNAs, suggesting an extensive regulatory system. PLoS Biol 2008, 6:e255.
- Ray D, Kazan H, Chan ET, Castillo LP, Chaudhry S, Talukder S, Blencowe BJ, Morris Q, Hughes TR: Rapid and systematic analysis of the RNA recognition specificities of RNA-binding proteins. Nat Biotechnol 2009, 27:667-670.
- Hafner M, Landthaler M, Burger L, Khorshid M, Hausser J, Berninger P, Rothballer A, Ascano M, Jungkamp A-C, Munschauer M *et al.*: Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding protein and microRNA target sites by PAR-CLIP. *Cell* 2010, 141:129-141.
- Baltz AG, Munschauer M, Schwanhäusser B, Vasile A, Murakawa Y, Schueler M, Youngs N, Penfold-Brown D, Drew K, Milek M et al.: The mRNA-bound proteome and its global occupancy profile on protein-coding transcripts. Mol Cell 2012, 46:674-690.
- Castello A, Fischer B, Eichelbaum K, Horos R, Beckmann BM, Strein C, Davey NE, Humphreys DT, Preiss T, Steinmetz LM et al.: Insights into RNA biology from an atlas of mammalian mRNAbinding proteins. *Cell* 2012, 149:1393-1406.

- 23. Maamar H, Cabili MN, Rinn J, Raj A: linc-HOXA1 is a noncoding RNA that represses Hoxa1 transcription in cis. Genes Dev 2013, 27:1260-1271.
- 24. Simon MD, Wang CI, Kharchenko PV, West JA, Chapman BA, Alekseyenko AA, Borowsky ML, Kuroda MI, Kingston RE: The genomic binding sites of a noncoding RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011, **108**:20497-20502.
- 25. Chu C, Qu K, Zhong FL, Artandi SE, Chang HY: Genomic maps of

long noncoding RNA occupancy reveal principles of RNAchromatin interactions. Mol Cell 2011, 44:667-678.

A novel technique to probe the genome-wide binding of chromatinassociated IncRNAs, ChIRP-Seq, reveals DNA sequence motifs for HOTAIR binding at target promoters, suggesting that IncRNAs can function in a manner analogous to transcription factors.

- 26. Buske FA. Mattick JS. Bailev TL: Potential in vivo roles of nucleic acid triple-helices. RNA Biol 2011, 8:427-439.
- 27. Schmitz K-M, Mayer C, Postepska A, Grummt I: Interaction of noncoding RNA with the rDNA promoter mediates recruitment of DNMT3b and silencing of rRNA genes. Genes Dev 2010, 24:2264-2269
- 28. Martianov I, Ramadass A, Serra Barros A, Chow N, Akoulitchev A: Repression of the human dihydrofolate reductase gene by a non-coding interfering transcript. Nature 2007, 445:666-670
- 29. Hah N, Murakami S, Nagari A, Danko CG, Kraus WL: Enhancer transcripts mark active estrogen receptor binding sites. Genome Res 2013 http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.152306.112.
- 30. Li W, Notani D, Ma Q, Tanasa B, Nunez E, Chen AY, Merkurjev D,
- Zhang J, Ohgi K, Song X et al.: Functional roles of enhancer RNAs for oestrogen-dependent transcriptional activation. Nature 2013, 498:516-520.

A large set of enhancer-IncRNAs are identified that mediate the transcriptional output of estrogen signalling in MCF7 cells. A subset of these IncRNAs is shown to mediate the chromosomal looping between enhancer and target promoter concomitant with local stabilization of the association of the cohesin complex.

- 31. Hah N, Danko CG, Core L, Waterfall JJ, Siepel A, Lis JT, Kraus WL:
- A rapid, extensive, and transient transcriptional response to ... estrogen signaling in breast cancer cells. Cell 2011, 145:622-634

Global run-on sequencing in MCF-7 cells shows a rapid and wide-spread transcriptional induction within 10 min of estradiol treatment. LncRNAs represent a large fraction of these transcripts.

- 32. Schwanhäusser B, Busse D, Li N, Dittmar G, Schuchhardt J, Wolf J, Chen W, Selbach M: Global quantification of mammalian gene expression control. Nature 2011, 473:337-342.
- 33. Clark MB, Johnston RL, Inostroza-Ponta M, Fox AH, Fortini E, Moscato P, Dinger ME, Mattick JS: Genome-wide analysis of long noncoding RNA stability. Genome Res 2012, 22:885-898.
- 34. Tani H, Mizutani R, Salam KA, Tano K, Ijiri K, Wakamatsu A Isogai T, Suzuki Y, Akimitsu N: Genome-wide determination of RNA stability reveals hundreds of short-lived noncoding transcripts in mammals. Genome Res 2012 http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1101/gr.130559.111.
- 35. Clemson CM, McNeil JA, Willard HF, Lawrence JB: XIST RNA paints the inactive X chromosome at interphase: evidence for a novel RNA involved in nuclear/chromosome structure. J Cell Biol 1996, 132:259-275.
- 36. Zhao J, Sun BK, Erwin JA, Song J-J, Lee JT: Polycomb proteins targeted by a short repeat RNA to the mouse X chromosome. Science 2008, 322:750-756.
- 37. Jeon Y, Lee JT: YY1 tethers Xist RNA to the inactive X nucleation center. Cell 2011, 146:119-133.

YY1 protein bridges XIST RNA and the X-chromosome and facilitates the loading of XIST onto the Xi.

- 38. Pinter SF, Sadreyev RI, Yildirim E, Jeon Y, Ohsumi TK, Borowsky M, Lee JT: Spreading of X chromosome inactivation via a hierarchy of defined Polycomb stations. *Genome Res* 2012, 22:1864-1876.
- 39. Engreitz JM, Pandya-Jones A, McDonel P, Shishkin A, Sirokman K, Surka C, Kadri S, Xing J, Goren A, Lander ES et al.:

The Xist IncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome architecture to spread across the X chromosome. Science 2013 http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1237973

40. Jiang J, Jing Y, Cost GJ, Chiang J-C, Kolpa HJ, Cotton AM,
Carone DM, Carone BR, Shivak DA, Guschin DY *et al.*: Translating dosage compensation to trisomy 21. Nature 2013 http:// dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12394.

Induction of XIST cDNA ectopically inserted on chromosome 21 in trisomic stem cells is sufficient to coat the chromosome with XIST, paralleled by chromosome-wide gene repression and heterochromatinization.

- Chow JC, Ciaudo C, Fazzari MJ, Mise N, Servant N, Glass JL, Attreed M, Avner P, Wutz A, Barillot E *et al.*: LINE-1 activity in 41. facultative heterochromatin formation during X chromosome inactivation. Cell 2010, 141:956-969.
- Hasegawa Y, Brockdorff N, Kawano S, Tsutui K, Tsutui K, Nakagawa S: The matrix protein hnRNP U is required for chromosomal localization of Xist RNA. Dev Cell 2010, 19:469-476.
- 43. Sun S, Del Rosario BC, Szanto A, Ogawa Y, Jeon Y, Lee JT: Jpx RNA activates Xist by evicting CTCF. Cell 2013, 153:1537-1551.
- 44. Augui S, Nora EP, Heard E: Regulation of X-chromosome inactivation by the X-inactivation centre. Nat Rev Genet 2011, **12**:429-442.
- Vallot C, Huret C, Lesecque Y, Resch A, Oudrhiri N, Bennaceur-Griscelli A, Duret L, Rougeulle C: XACT, a long noncoding transcript coating the active X chromosome in human pluripotent cells. Nat Genet 2013, 45:239-241.
- 46. Guil S, Soler M, Portela A, Carrère J, Fonalleras E, Gómez A Villanueva A, Esteller M: Intronic RNAs mediate EZH2 regulation of epigenetic targets. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2012, 19:664-670.
- Zhao J, Ohsumi TK, Kung JT, Ogawa Y, Grau DJ, Sarma K, Song JJ, Kingston RE, Borowsky M, Lee JT: Genome-wide identification of polycomb-associated RNAs by RIP-seq. Mol Cell 2010, 40:939-953.
- Guttman M, Donaghey J, Carey BW, Garber M, Grenier JK, Munson G, Young G, Lucas AB, Ach R, Bruhn L et al.: lincRNAs act in the circuitry controlling pluripotency and differentiation. Nature 2011, 477:295-300.
- Khalil AM, Guttman M, Huarte M, Garber M, Raj A, Rivea Morales D, Thomas K, Presser A, Bernstein BE, van Oudenaarden A *et al.*: **Many human large intergenic noncoding** 49. RNAs associate with chromatin-modifying complexes and affect gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009, 106:11667-11672.
- 50. Rinn JL, Kertesz M, Wang JK, Squazzo SL, Xu X, Brugmann SA Goodnough LH, Helms JA, Farnham PJ, Segal E et al.: Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in human HOX loci by noncoding RNAs. Cell 2007, 129:1311-1323.
- 51. Tsai M-C, Manor O, Wan Y, Mosammaparast N, Wang JK, Lan F,
 Shi Y, Segal E, Chang HY: Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science 2010, **329**:689-693.

Evidence is presented to show that HOTAIR IncRNA acts as molecular scaffold to connect PRC2 and LSD1 complexes.

- Kaneko S, Li G, Son J, Xu C-F, Margueron R, Neubert TA, Reinberg D: **Phosphorylation of the PRC2 component Ezh2 is** 52. cell cycle-regulated and up-regulates its binding to ncRNA. Genes Dev 2010. 24:2615-2620.
- 53. Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, Kim J, Horlings HM, Wong DJ,
 Tsai M-C, Hung T, Argani P, Rinn JL *et al.*: Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature 2010, 464:1071-1076.

Over-expression of HOTAIR is sufficient for the genomic mis-localization of PRC2 and can confer increased invasiveness of breast carcinoma cells.

- Schorderet P, Duboule D: Structural and functional differences 54. in the long non-coding RNA hotair in mouse and human. PLoS Genet 2011, 7:e1002071.
- 55. Grote P, Wittler L, Hendrix D, Koch F, Währisch S, Beisaw A Macura K, Bläss G, Kellis M, Werber M et al.: The tissue-specific

IncRNA Fendrr is an essential regulator of heart and body wall development in the mouse. Dev Cell 2013, 24:206-214.

- 56. Klattenhoff CA, Scheuermann JC, Surface LE, Bradley RK, Fields PA, Steinhauser ML, Ding H, Butty VL, Torrey L, Haas S et al.: Braveheart, a long noncoding RNA required for cardiovascular lineage commitment. Cell 2013, 152:570-583.
- 57. Kino T. Hurt DE. Ichiio T. Nader N. Chrousos GP: Noncoding RNA gas5 is a growth arrest- and starvation-associated repressor of the glucocorticoid receptor. Sci Signal 2010, 3:ra8.
- Hung T, Wang Y, Lin MF, Koegel AK, Kotake Y, Grant GD, 58. Horlings HM, Shah N, Umbricht C, Wang P et al.: Extensive and coordinated transcription of noncoding RNAs within cellcycle promoters. Nat Genet 2011, 43:621-629.
- 59. Rapicavoli NA, Qu K, Zhang J, Mikhail M, Laberge RM, Chang HY: A mammalian pseudogene IncRNA at the interface of inflammation and anti-inflammatory therapeutics. eLife 2013, 2.e00762
- 60. Kotake Y, Nakagawa T, Kitagawa K, Suzuki S, Liu N, Kitagawa M, Xiong Y: Long non-coding RNA ANRIL is required for the PRC2 recruitment to and silencing of p15(INK4B) tumor suppressor gene. Oncogene 2011, 30:1956-1962.
- Yap KL, Li S, Muñoz-Cabello AM, Raguz S, Zeng L, Mujtaba S, Gil J, Walsh MJ, Zhou M-M: **Molecular interplay of the noncoding RNA ANRIL and methylated histone H3 lysine 27 by** 61. polycomb CBX7 in transcriptional silencing of INK4a. Mol Cell 2010. 38:662-674.
- 62. Bertani S, Sauer S, Bolotin E, Sauer F: The noncoding RNA Mistral activates Hoxa6 and Hoxa7 expression and stem cell differentiation by recruiting MLL1 to chromatin. Mol Cell 2011, **43**:1040-1046.
- 63. Wang KC, Yang YW, Liu B, Sanyal A, Corces-Zimmerman R Chen Y, Lajoie BR, Protacio A, Flynn RA, Gupta RA et al.: A long noncoding RNA maintains active chromatin to coordinate homeotic gene expression. Nature 2011, 472:120-124.
- 64. Lai F, Orom UA, Cesaroni M, Beringer M, Taatjes DJ, Blobel GA, Shiekhattar R: Activating RNAs associate with Mediator to enhance chromatin architecture and transcription. Nature 2013. 494:497-501

A representative IncRNA with enhancer-like function is shown to be essential for mediator-dependent intra-chromosomal contact with its target gene promoter to facilitate fine-tuning of target gene expression.

- 65. Ørom UA, Derrien T, Beringer M, Gumireddy K, Gardini A, Bussotti G, Lai F, Zytnicki M, Notredame C, Huang Q et al.: Long noncoding RNAs with enhancer-like function in human cells. Cell 2010, 143:46-58.
- 66. Mao YS, Zhang B, Spector DL: Biogenesis and function of nuclear bodies. Trends Genet 2011, 27:295-306.
- Clemson CM, Hutchinson JN, Sara SA, Ensminger AW, Fox AH, 67. Chess A, Lawrence JB: An architectural role for a nuclear noncoding RNA: NEAT1 RNA is essential for the structure of paraspeckles. Mol Cell 2009, 33:717-726.
- Chen L-L, Carmichael GG: Altered nuclear retention of mRNAs 68. containing inverted repeats in human embryonic stem cells: functional role of a nuclear noncoding RNA. Mol Cell 2009, 35:467-478
- 69. Sunwoo H, Dinger ME, Wilusz JE, Amaral PP, Mattick JS, Spector DL: MEN epsilon/beta nuclear-retained non-coding RNAs are up-regulated upon muscle differentiation and are essential components of paraspeckles. Genome Res 2009, 19:347-359
- 70. Mao YS, Sunwoo H, Zhang B, Spector DL: Direct visualization of

the co-transcriptional assembly of a nuclear body by •• noncoding RNAs. Nat Cell Biol 2010, 13:95-101. Live cell imaging demonstrates the spatio-temporal relationship between Neat1 and paraspeckle formation, showing that nascent Neat1 RNA acts as a seed for the assembly of this nuclear sub-structure.

Shevtsov SP, Dundr M: Nucleation of nuclear bodies by RNA. Nat Cell Biol 2011, 13:167-173.

- 72. Hutchinson JN, Ensminger AW, Clemson CM, Lynch CR, Lawrence JB, Chess A: A screen for nuclear transcripts identifies two linked noncoding RNAs associated with SC35 splicing domains. BMC Genom 2007, 8:39.
- 73. Sone M, Hayashi T, Tarui H, Agata K, Takeichi M, Nakagawa S: The mRNA-like noncoding RNA Gomafu constitutes a novel nuclear domain in a subset of neurons. J Cell Sci 2007, 120:2498-2506
- 74. Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Sunkin SM, Mehler MF, Mattick JS: Specific expression of long noncoding RNAs in the mouse brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008, 105:716-721.
- 75. Rapicavoli NA, Poth EM, Blackshaw S; The long noncoding RNA RNCR2 directs mouse retinal cell specification. BMC Dev Biol 2010. 10:49.
- 76. Barry G, Briggs JA, Vanichkina DP, Poth EM, Beveridge NJ, Ratnu VS, Nayler SP, Nones K, Hu J, Bredy TW et al.: The long non-coding RNA Gomafu is acutely regulated in response to neuronal activation and involved in schizophrenia-associated alternative splicing. Mol Psychiatry 2013 http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/mp.2013.45
- 77. Tsuiji H, Yoshimoto R, Hasegawa Y, Furuno M, Yoshida M, Nakagawa S: Competition between a noncoding exon and introns: Gomafu contains tandem UACUAAC repeats and associates with splicing factor-1. Genes Cells 2011, **16**:479-490
- Ji P, Diederichs S, Wang W, Böing S, Metzger R, Schneider PM, Tidow N, Brandt B, Buerger H, Bulk E et al.: MALAT-1, a novel noncoding RNA, and thymosin beta4 predict metastasis and survival in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene 2003, 22:8031-8041.
- 79. Gutschner T, Hämmerle M, Diederichs S: MALAT1-a paradigm for long noncoding RNA function in cancer. J Mol Med 2013, **91**:791-801.
- 80. Wilusz JE, Freier SM, Spector DL: 3' end processing of a long nuclear-retained noncoding RNA yields a tRNA-like cytoplasmic RNA. *Cell* 2008, **135**:919-932.
- 81. Zhang B, Arun G, Mao YS, Lazar Z, Hung G, Bhattacharjee G, Xiao X, Booth CJ, Wu J, Zhang C et al.: The IncRNA Malat1 is dispensable for mouse development but its transcription plays a cis-regulatory role in the adult. Cell Rep 2012, 2:111-123
- 82. Ulitsky I, Shkumatava A, Jan CH, Sive H, Bartel DP: Conserved

function of lincRNAs in vertebrate embryonic development despite rapid sequence evolution. Cell 2011, 147:1537-1550. This study demonstrates that the mammalian orthologue of the IncRNA

Cyrano can rescue zebrafish development in Cyrano-depleted embryos.

- 83. Tripathi V, Ellis JD, Shen Z, Song DY, Pan Q, Watt AT, Freier SM, Bennett CF, Sharma A, Bubulya PA et al.: The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. Mol Cell 2010, **39**:925-938
- 84. Nakagawa S, Ip JY, Shioi G, Tripathi V, Zong X, Hirose T, Prasanth KV: Malat1 is not an essential component of nuclear speckles in mice. RNA 2012, 18:1487-1499.
- 85. Tripathi V, Shen Z, Chakraborty A, Giri S, Freier SM, Wu X, Zhang Y, Gorospe M, Prasanth SG, Lal A *et al.*: Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 controls cell cycle progression by regulating the expression of oncogenic transcription factor B-MYB. PLoS Genet 2013, 9:e1003368.
- 86. Yang L, Lin C, Liu W, Zhang J, Ohgi KA, Grinstein JD, Dorrestein PC, Rosenfeld MG: ncRNA- and Pc2 methylationdependent gene relocation between nuclear structures mediates gene activation programs. Cell 2011, 147:773-788.
- Tano K, Mizuno R, Okada T, Rakwal R, Shibato J, Masuo Y, Ijiri K, Akimitsu N: MALAT-1 enhances cell motility of lung adenocarcinoma cells by influencing the expression of motility-related genes. FEBS Lett 2010, **584**:4575-4580.
- Gutschner T, Hämmerle M, Eißmann M, Hsu J, Kim Y, Hung G, Revenko A, Arun G, Stentrup M, Groß M et al.: The noncoding RNA MALAT1 is a critical regulator of the metastasis

phenotype of lung cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2013, 73:1180-1189.

- Bernard D, Prasanth KV, Tripathi V, Colasse S, Nakamura T, Xuan Z, Zhang MQ, Sedel F, Jourdren L, Coulpier F et al.: A long nuclear-retained non-coding RNA regulates synaptogenesis by modulating gene expression. *EMBO J* 2010, 29:3082-3093.
- 90. Eißmann M, Gutschner T, Hämmerle M, Günther S, Caudron-Herger M, Groß M, Schirmacher P, Rippe K, Braun T, Zörnig M et al.: Loss of the abundant nuclear non-coding RNA MALAT1 is compatible with life and development. RNA Biol 2012, 9:1076-1087.
- Sánchez Y, Huarte M: Long non-coding RNAs: challenges for diagnosis and therapies. Nucleic Acid Ther 2013, 23:15-20.
- Qureshi IA, Mehler MF: Long non-coding RNAs: novel targets for nervous system disease diagnosis and therapy. *Neurotherapeutics* 2013 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13311-013-0199-200.
- Kondo T, Plaza S, Zanet J, Benrabah E, Valenti P, Hashimoto Y, Kobayashi S, Payre F, Kageyama Y: Small peptides switch the transcriptional activity of Shavenbaby during Drosophila embryogenesis. Science 2010, 329:336-339.
- 94. Ingolia NT, Lareau LF, Weissman JS: Ribosome profiling of mouse embryonic stem cells reveals the complexity and dynamics of mammalian proteomes. *Cell* 2011, 147:789-802.

- Guttman M, Russell P, Ingolia NT, Weissman JS, Lander ES: Ribosome profiling provides evidence that large noncoding RNAs do not encode proteins. *Cell* 2013, 154:240-251.
- Watts JM, Dang KK, Gorelick RJ, Leonard CW, Bess JW, Swanstrom R, Burch CL, Weeks KM: Architecture and secondary structure of an entire HIV-1 RNA genome. *Nature* 2009, 460:711-716.
- Novikova IV, Hennelly SP, Sanbonmatsu KY: Structural architecture of the human long non-coding RNA, steroid receptor RNA activator. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40:5034-5051.
- Spitale RC, Crisalli P, Flynn RA, Torre EA, Kool ET, Chang HY: RNA SHAPE analysis in living cells. Nat Chem Biol 2013, 9:18-20.
- Yoon J-H, Srikantan S, Gorospe M: MS2-TRAP (MS2-tagged RNA affinity purification): tagging RNA to identify associated miRNAs. *Methods* 2012, 58:81-87.
- 100. de Wit E, de Laat W: A decade of 3C technologies: insights into nuclear organization. Genes Dev 2012, 26:11-24.
- 101. Punta M, Coggill PC, Eberhardt RY, Mistry J, Tate J, Boursnell C, Pang N, Forslund K, Ceric G, Clements J et al.: The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40:D290-D301.