Long non-coding (Inc)RNAs are emerging key factors in the regulation of various cellular processes. In the nucleus, these include the organization of nuclear sub-structures, the alteration of chromatin state, and the regulation of gene expression through the interaction with effector proteins and modulation of their activity. Collectively, IncRNAs form the core of attractive models explaining aspects of structural and dynamic regulation in the nucleus across time and space. Here we review recent studies that characterize the molecular function of a subset of these molecules in the regulation and fine-tuning of nuclear state.
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**Introduction**
The nucleus is a highly structured cellular compartment that most prominently serves the organization and regulation of chromatin-associated processes, including DNA replication, transcription, RNA processing and RNA export (for recent review, see [1–4]). Assembly and organization of nuclear sub-structures, and the spatiotemporal coordination of nuclear processes, need to be tightly controlled to ensure efficient cellular function and metabolism, yet allow for sufficient plasticity so as to integrate changing external and internal cues.

While the catalytic and structural function of nuclear proteins has been the center of research for several decades, relatively recent studies provide increasing evidence that long non-coding (Inc)RNAs may assume roles in mediating processes typically known to be exerted by protein complexes, ranging from the fine-tuning of developmental programs to key regulatory aspects of cellular function. IncRNAs are arbitrarily defined as RNA molecules of greater than 200 nucleotides in length that do not contain any apparent protein-coding potential, as determined largely by bioinformatic means. These comprise both unspliced and multi-exonic transcripts that may or may not be poly-adenylated. The majority of IncRNAs are expressed at low levels, largely precluding their discovery before the advent of RNA deep sequencing approaches. Furthermore, their low expression level has fueled concerns about how and to which extent some of these molecules are biologically functional (discussed in [5]). Broadly, IncRNAs can be classified into small RNA hosts or precursor transcripts, enhancer-associated RNAs (eRNAs), transcripts overlapping annotated genes in sense or anti-sense direction (including intronic IncRNAs), as well as IncRNAs that are self-contained transcription units falling in between known protein-coding genes and also referred to as long ‘intergenic’ non-coding (linc)RNAs [6–9]. This coarse classification is dwarfed by the range of biological processes that IncRNAs have been implicated in [10,11]. Furthermore, the latter likely only represents the tip of the iceberg given the minute fraction of IncRNAs functionally characterized to date.

In several cases, in particular those where the IncRNA is implicated in transcriptional regulation or the modulation of chromatin state in cis, it is frequently difficult to assess if the effect of a given IncRNA is mediated by the mature RNA molecule itself, or by the local activity of RNA polymerases and their associated proteins, as reviewed in Kornienko et al. [12]. Here, we will highlight recent studies supporting a direct involvement of individual IncRNA molecules as the functional entity in the regulation of transcriptional activity and chromatin state, as well as the architectural role of IncRNAs within nuclear bodies.

**A case for RNA**
The majority of the thousands of IncRNAs identified to date are transcribed in a highly cell-specific and tissuespecific manner [8,13,14]. These molecules thus provide an exciting prospect of forming molecular layers contributing towards the vast range of functional diversity found across different cell types. As discussed below, the currently promoted hypotheses of IncRNA function largely revolve around interaction of IncRNAs with specific proteins, so as to modulate their dynamics and localization, or the compositional structure of multiprotein complexes (Figure 1) [10,15,16]. In fact, it has been known for decades that ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes incorporating non-coding RNAs, such as ribosomes and some RNA processing components including...
snRNPs and snoRNPs, actively participate in cellular function. Their biochemical features render lncRNAs tantalizing molecules as potential key elements regulating cellular function.

Both primary transcript sequence and secondary structure motifs allow an RNA to selectively interact with proteins [17–20]. In mammalian cells, in excess of 800 proteins associate with the poly-adenylated transcript fraction alone, creating a diverse multi-dimensional RNP network [21,22]. Besides facilitating the proximity of individual RNA-binding proteins with each other, alternative splicing of lncRNAs resulting in the inclusion or exclusion of individual structural elements can further modulate the composition and thus potentially regulate the functional output of an RNP [23].

The primary sequence of lncRNAs may not only determine RNP composition, but also hold the clue towards localization of the associated proteins, which frequently lack apparent DNA binding motifs, to their target sites in the genome. Recent data have shown that certain...
In mammalian cells, as well as Drosophila, lncRNAs occupy hundreds of genomic sites, and these sites contain sequence motifs specific for the given lncRNA [24,25]. RNA may form triple-helices with DNA, and several lines of evidence indicate that these structures can be functional in vivo (reviewed in [26]). Indeed, lncRNA:DNA triplexes were shown to mediate local targeting of the DNA methyltransferase DNMT3b to or displacement of TFIIB from rRNA genes and the DHFR promoter, respectively [27,28].

Lastly, the comparatively rapid process of transcriptional induction is well suited to accommodate and relay timesensitive alterations of intrinsic or extrinsic cues through lncRNAs. In support of this, estrogen signaling in MCF-7 cells was recently shown to result in the rapid change in expression of a large number of lncRNAs [29,30,31], suggesting they may perform an early functional role in this pathway. Indeed, knockdown of a subset of enhancer-associated lncRNAs in this system resulted in an impaired induction of the adjacent protein-coding genes [30]. With a wide range of transcript half lives that are globally lower than those of proteins [32–34], cellular effectiveness of lncRNA function can consequently be tightly regulated. This temporal control of cellular lncRNA levels could thus result in an equally tight control of lncRNP functional output, as illustrated above.

**Dynamic regulation of transcription and chromatin state by lncRNAs**

Perhaps the most dramatic case of transcriptional regulation mediated by a lncRNA known to date occurs during dosage compensation through the inactivation of one of the two female X-chromosomes (Xi) during mammalian differentiation. In this process, nearly all genes on the Xi become permanently repressed. Xist is specifically transcribed from and coats the Xi in somatic cells [35]. Xist was recently shown to directly interact with Ezh2, the catalytic subunit of the Polycomb repressive complex (PRC2), through the Repeat A motif on Xist, resulting in recruitment of PRC2 to the chromosome [36]. Initial targeting of PRC2 through Xist occurs at a ‘nucleation center’, by tethering of Xist through the YY1 protein, which binds to the Repeat C motif on Xist [37]. PRC2 subsequently spreads across and silences genes along the Xi through catalyzing the repressive trimethylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27) [38]. A recent study proposed that during this process, Xist alters the three-dimensional architecture of Xi to facilitate repositioning of active genes into the repressive compartment [39]. Remarkably, an inducible Xist cDNA construct inserted on one copy of human chromosome 21 in trisomic Down syndrome stem cells results in the coating of the chromosome by XIST RNA associated with chromosome-wide gene repression and chromatin modification [40]. Regulation of endogenous Xist expression and its impact on the X-chromosome is complex and controlled by additional lncRNAs including long interspersed elements, as well as their protein interactors [41–43] (for comprehensive review, see [44]). Of note, a recent study identified another lncRNA, XACT, which is expressed in human cells and at least partially associates with the active X-chromosome as determined by RNA-DNA in situ hybridization, although the functional role of this RNA has yet to be elucidated [45].

Over the past several years, other lncRNAs have been identified that can modulate chromatin state and transcriptional activity in cis (affecting neighboring genes) or in trans (affecting distant genes on different chromosomes). Indeed, many lncRNAs are enriched in the nucleus and interact with a range of chromatin-modifying complexes [46–49]. Among the first lncRNAs implicated in the modulation of chromatin state in trans (Figure 1A), and perhaps the most thoroughly studied representative of this class of lncRNAs, is HOTAIR [50]. HOTAIR is transcribed within the human HOXD locus on chromosome 12, and interacts with the catalytic subunit of PRC2, Ezh2 [47,50,51,52]. Depletion of HOTAIR transcripts by siRNA does not have an impact on transcription of genes within its host locus HOXD, but was instead found to cause a reduction of PRC2 occupancy, local decrease in H3K27 trimethylation, and the activation of genes within the HOXD locus on chromosome 2 [50]. Subsequent genome-wide analysis identified hundreds of sites on different chromosomes that are associated with HOTAIR [25]. Binding of HOTAIR was independent of the presence of Ezh2, indicating that HOTAIR may act upstream in PRC2 recruitment. In concordance, forced over-expression of HOTAIR is sufficient to cause ectopic mis-localization of PRC2 to several genomic regions [53]. While the 5’ end of HOTAIR is required for interaction with PRC2, its 3’ end was shown to interact with the H3K4-demethylase LSD1 in vitro [51]. This interaction results in the physical bridging of a small subfraction of PRC2 and LSD1-containing repressive complexes, with HOTAIR knockdown causing loss of either or both complexes at a subset of target genes [51]. Interestingly, knocking out mouse Hotair does not impact Hoxd gene expression or chromatin state [54], suggesting functional differences between mouse and human HOTAIR activity.

Similar to HOTAIR, two other lncRNAs have recently been implicated in regulating developmental gene expression programs through the interaction of chromatin modifiers and the modulation of local chromatin state in trans. The lncRNA Fendrr is required for heart and body wall development in the mouse, and its homozygous disruption causes embryonic lethality [55]. Pull-downs using antibodies against either PRC2 components or WDR5, a member of the MLL histone methyltransferase complex, retrieved Fendrr RNA, and loss of Fendrr correlated with reduced occupancy of PRC2 at the
promoters of Foxf1, Pitx2 and Irx3, paralleled by an up-regulation of these genes. Notably, RNA oligomers corresponding to Fendr were able to bind Pitx2 and Foxf1 promoter DNA fragments in vitro, together suggesting that Fendr might facilitate targeting of PRC2 to these sites through triple helix interaction in vivo.

Analogously, Braveheart is a lncRNA most prominently expressed in the mouse heart, and shRNA-mediated depletion of Braveheart in different stem-cell and progenitor cell culture systems indicates Braveheart expression to be required for the commitment of cells to the cardiac lineage [56]. Reduction of Braveheart levels correlated with an altered occupancy of PRC2 at cardiac gene promoters, and pull-down experiments in vitro and in vivo indicate that Braveheart can interact with the PRC2 subunit Suz12. However, it remains to be determined if Braveheart directly mediates the functional targeting of PRC2 to these promoters.

A different mechanism for a lncRNA to mediate transcriptional output in trans is exemplified by Gas5 (Figure 1b). Gas5 accumulates in growth-arrested cells and was shown to bind to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), preventing it from binding to glucocorticoid response elements and impairing GR-mediated transcriptional induction [57]. Similarly, the p53-induced lncRNA PANDA has been reported to interact with the nuclear transcription factor NF-YA both in vitro and in RNA immuno-precipitation assays [58]. PANDA knockdown upregulated pro-apoptotic NF-YA target genes, paralleled by an increase of NF-YA occupancy at target promoters, combined suggesting that this lncRNA acts as a decoy to sequester or displace NF-YA from its genomic binding sites. Recently, another lncRNA has been suggested to act as transcription factor decoy: in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, Letho regulates NFκB activity by binding to its subunit RelA and preventing its association with DNA [59].

Akin to the modulation of chromatin state at distant sites, several lncRNAs are implicated in regulating chromatin function in their genomic vicinity. Notable cis effects are exerted by lncRNAs such as ANRIL, an antisense transcript overlapping the INK4b/ARF/INK4a locus. ANRIL was found to bind both, PRC1 and PRC2 complexes and to be required for the repression of gene transcription at this locus [60,61]. Conversely, other lncRNAs were shown to recruit transcriptional activators to facilitate local target gene transcription. In mouse, Mistral was found to recruit the histone methyl-transferase MLL1 via a short stem loop, facilitating conformational association and inducing expression of the neighboring Hoxa6 and Hoxa7 genes during embryonic stem cell differentiation [62]. Similarly, the lncRNA HOTTIP was suggested to promote transcription of genes within the HOXA locus in human fibroblasts through chromosomal looping and an interaction with WDR5/MLL complexes (Figure 1c) [63].

Chromosomal looping between loci of lncRNAs, originally found to comprise enhancer-like activity, and their target gene promoters has also been observed. This contact, as well as modulation of target gene transcription, was abrogated when cells were treated with siRNA against the lncRNA, while the interaction between these loci was further dependent on the Mediator complex [64**,**65]. Analogously, estrogen signaling-induced looping between a subset of enhancer-associated lncRNA loci and their target promoters in MCF-7 cells was reduced upon knockdown of the lncRNA, paralleled by a reduced recruitment of the cohesin complex to the enhancer and diminished target gene expression [30**].

**lncRNAs at the core of nuclear bodies**

The nucleus harbors a range of sub-structures, collectively referred to as ‘nuclear bodies’. Although the biological function of many of these structures remains to be elucidated, it is apparent that they are complex associations comprising many distinct proteins that in part interchange at these bodies in a highly dynamic manner [66].

Several independent studies have demonstrated that the abundant lncRNA Neat1 (also called Men ε/β) is essential for the maintenance of a nuclear sub-structure termed the paraspeckle (Figure 1d) [67–69]. Time-lapse imaging studies in cells harboring an inducible Neat1 construct further demonstrate that expression of Neat1 is sufficient for the co-transcriptional assembly of paraspeckles at the ectopic Neat1 locus [70**]. Likewise, tethering of Neat1 RNA to a genomic region comprising a reporter array is sufficient for the local nucleation of a paraspeckle [71]. Consistent with the integral role of Neat1 RNA for paraspeckle formation, human embryonic stem cells, which do not express Neat1, do not form paraspeckles [68]. As these cells differentiate, Neat1 expression is induced, and paralleled by the formation of paraspeckles. Similarly, upon mouse myotube differentiation, Neat1 transcription is upregulated resulting in an increase in the number and size of paraspeckles [69]. These examples provide a striking case of how regulation of lncRNA expression can be linked to the modulation of a specific nuclear body.

Other lncRNAs are known to display distinct nuclear localization patterns. Most prominently, Malat1 (also called Neat2) is associated with nuclear speckles [67,72], although as discussed below, the transcript is non-essential for speckle formation and integrity. Also notable with respect to nuclear sub-structures is the lncRNA Gomafu (alternatively called Miat or RNCR2), which is mostly expressed in the mouse nervous system [73,74]. Gomafu is non-uniformly distributed in the nucleus of neuronal cells and associates with the insoluble nuclear matrix fraction, but does not co-localize with markers for known nuclear sub-structures [73]. Knockdown of Gomafu in
post-natal retina cells indicates a role for the transcript in cellular specification [75], although the underlying molecular mechanism remains to be determined. Recent studies found that Gomafu is bound by different splicing factors [76,77], and suggest that this interaction has an impact on alternative splicing in neurons [76].

**Malat1: enigma or multi-tasking?**

Malat1 was originally identified amongst several genes upregulated in metastatic non-small cell lung cancer [78]. A host of recent studies identified mis-expression and mutations of Malat1 in several other cancers, rendering Malat1 a tumor marker with potential as a prognostic or therapeutic target (reviewed by Gutschner et al. [79]). Through sequential RNase P and RNase Z mediated processing, the 3' end of Malat1 yields a 61 nucleotide tRNA-like small RNA termed mascRNA, which is exported into the cytoplasm [80], while the ~6700 nucleotide Malat1 transcript is retained in the nucleus where it is concentrated in nuclear speckles but also diffusely present throughout the nucleoplasm [67,72,80].

Within the poly-adenylated fraction, Malat1 represents the most abundant lncRNA [81]. Furthermore, in contrast to most other lncRNAs, Malat1, and in particular its 3' mascRNA module, is highly conserved in vertebrates [72,82**]. Expression level, evolutionary conservation and its mis-regulation in various cancers suggest that Malat1 is involved in important cellular processes and has consequently been a major focus of research. While the function of mascRNA has yet to be elucidated, several studies have aimed to assess the cellular role of Malat1. As mentioned above, despite its prominent localization to nuclear speckles, Malat1 is not required for speckle formation or maintenance [72,81,83,84]. However, transient knockdown of Malat1 in different cell types was reported to cause a series of phenotypic changes, including impaired cell cycle progression [83,85,86], apoptosis [83], reduced cell motility [87,88] and diminished synapse formation in cultured neurons [89]. On the molecular level, Malat1 knockdown resulted in altered splicing patterns [83,85] or changes in gene expression [85–89]. Its impact on splicing may be associated with the reported interaction of Malat1 with different SR-type splicing factors, which appears to modulate their nuclear distribution [83,89] and, at least in certain cell types, their phosphorylation state [83]. The regulation of gene expression through Malat1 was recently suggested to be mediated in part through p53 pathway activation, although the molecular mode of this effect has not yet been determined [85]. Another study found MALAT1 to bind to unmethylated Polycomb 2 (Pc2) protein, and in //vitro pull-down conditions to other transcriptional co-activators [86]. Here, MALAT1 was furthermore essential for the re-location of growth control genes from Polycomb bodies to nuclear speckles, paralleled by their activation in HeLa cells.

In light of the above studies, perhaps the biggest surprise came from three recent Malat1 knock-out studies, which collectively failed to identify marked Malat1 phenotypes, including any of the defects observed in previous knock-down studies [81,84,90]. Two of these reports noted a mild impact of Malat1 knock out on the expression of the neighboring lncRNA Neat1 (see above). Furthermore, while in one knock-out system Neat1 is downregulated in intestine, colon and embryonic fibroblasts [84], the other system displays a mild up-regulation of Neat1 expression in brain and liver [81]. The lack of a strong phenotype in Malat1−/− mice may well be attributed to functional compensation during development. Alternatively, and in line with the diverse defects seen in different cell lines in Malat1 knockdown studies, Malat1 might act as a more subtle sentinel of cellular state, mediating relevant responses under specific stress conditions such as malignant transformation. Clearly, despite the intensive research efforts to date, many open questions remain to be addressed and additional work is needed to investigate the function of Malat1 in different physiological backgrounds.

**Future directions**

The field of ‘modern’ lncRNA research is comparatively young, but from the few examples studied to date, it is clear that lncRNAs have revealed exciting new layers in the control of cellular function and metabolism. In addition, lncRNAs already are of great interest as disease biomarkers or potential targets for novel therapeutic approaches (discussed in [91,92]). However, the comparatively short time since discovering the thousands of lncRNAs also reflects the major challenges ahead in studying these molecules:

We currently lack the necessary information to predict the function of a given lncRNA based on their sequence alone. In fact, we cannot even exclude the possibility that any one of the presently uncharacterized lncRNAs may be coding for a small protein or peptide, rather than acting as a non-coding entity. This is exemplified by ‘polished rice’, a *Drosophila* transcript originally thought to be non-coding, but subsequently shown to produce a short peptide [93]. In this respect, ribosome profiling studies in mouse embryonic stem cells found that the majority of lncRNAs are associated with ribosomes [94], although subsequent computational analyses suggest that these interactions may not result in productive protein biosynthesis [95].

Essentially, what is presently needed to propel this field forward is to investigate in depth, on a one-by-one basis, uncharacterized lncRNAs so as to probe their functional roles. Molecular and biochemical assays should be complemented with studying lncRNA localization and expression on the subcellular level, ideally employing RNA fluorescence in //situ hybridization protocols with
single molecule sensitivity. Besides assessing their enrichment in the nucleus, these data will furthermore allow to determine cell-to-cell variability as well as cell cycle-specific patterns of lncRNA expression and localization. These efforts need to be paralleled by the development of advanced molecular tools to study RNAs, their structure, and their functional interactions. Indeed, several recent efforts have generated valuable approaches both for a more global analysis as well as for specific subclasses of lncRNAs. Of particular note are methods aiming to determine the folding of lncRNA molecules [96–98] and the functional principles of these structures [17]. Other important approaches aim to identify the in vitro complement of cellular RNA interactors comprising both proteins [21,22] and other RNA molecules [99], and the mapping of binding sites of individual RNA-binding proteins at nucleotide resolution [20]. Of interest with respect to lncRNAs implicated in targeting effector proteins to genomic sites is a series of analogous procedures providing genome-wide data sets of RNP occupancy, termed CHART (Capture Hybridization Analysis of RNA Targets) [24], ChIRP (Chromatin Isolation by RNA Purification) [25] or RAP (RNA Antisense Purification) [39]. Keeping in mind the impact of these lncRNAs on local chromatin state, it will furthermore be of great interest to apply established chromosome conformation capture technologies (recently reviewed in [100]) to lncRNA loss-of-function or gain-of-function systems, so as to investigate the impact of these molecules on global genome structure and chromatin organization.

Combined, these data should allow for the assembly of a database analogous to for example Pfam [101], containing lncRNA sequence features such as binding sites for specific effector proteins, functional secondary structure elements or ‘zip codes’ directing the targeting to distinct cellular domains or genomic sites, that will aid in more rapid movement towards functional characterization as well as high-throughput analyses of newly identified lncRNAs.
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